What do these TM's do on input on input 001? Standard input format: (s, \#001[\#]).

$\xrightarrow{M_{2}} \bigcap_{R}$


Theorem: Turing decidable languages are closed under difference.

Proof:
Let $M_{1}$ be a TM which decides $L_{1}$, and let $M_{2}$ be a $T M$ which decides $L_{2}$.

Let $C$ be a TM which makes a copy of the input: (s, \# w \#) ト* (h, \# w \# w [\#]).

Finish the proof by drawing a machine schema for a TM which decides $L=L_{1}-L_{2}$.

## Extensions of TM's/UTM's

It can be proven that adding extra power to a TM by adding multiple tracks, tapes, or tape heads does not change what it is able to compute. These more powerful models can be simulated on our single tape/one head machine.
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Two-way infinite tape:

| $\#$ | a | b | a | a | $\#$ | b | b | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Multiple tapes:

| $\#$ | a | a | a | $\#$ | b | b | b | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | a | b | a | b | \# | a | b | $\#$ |$..$

Multiple tracks:

| $\#$ | a | b | a | a | b | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\#$ | b | a | b | b | a | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |

Two tracks- Each tape square has one symbol on upper track and one symbol on lower track:

| $\#$ | a | b | b | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |

To simulate this on a standard TM:
On standard TM initially:

| \# | a | b | b | \# | \# | \# | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Initial alphabet is $s 1, s 2, \ldots$ sk.
New symbols $=\left\{\binom{s i}{s j}: i=1,2, \ldots k, j=1,2, \ldots k\right\}$

| $\#$ | a | b | b | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Reformat initial tape:

|  | \# | \# | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Each time TM moves onto a \# square, reformat it to be: ( $\binom{*}{\#}$
For each state $q$ add a transition:
$\delta(q, \#) \rightarrow\left(q,\left(\begin{array}{l}\#\end{array}\right)\right)$

## Two-way infinite tape:



How can this be simulated with a Turing machine that has 2 tracks?

Conceptually, the infinite tape is "bent" and wrapped around at the as follows, with \$ to mark the bend:


To initialize the tape:

| $\#$ | b | a | b | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Shift right and convert to two track mode with end of tape marker:

| $\$$ | $\#$ | b | a | b | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |

$M_{1}:$

\# w [\#] changes to [\#] \# w \#
$M_{2}$ : 2-track formatting.


## Old Transitions:

s \# s L
$s$ a h \#
$s b s R$
Add:

1. Upper and lower track states and transitions.
2. Reformat of \# squares to 2-track.
3. If we hit \$ change tracks.

Careful: if we are going left on the original tape, this corresponds to left for squares $0,1,2, \ldots$ but right for squares $-1,-2,-3, \ldots$ on the 2-track simulation.

| -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\$$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1-$ | $S-$ | $\varepsilon-$ | +- |

## Multiple tape heads:



Keep track of tape head positions on extra tracks:

| $\#$ | a | b | b | a | a | $\#$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\#$ | $\#$ | $\#$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Multiple tapes:

| $\$$ | $\#$ | b | b | a | b | b | \# |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | \# | \# |
| $\$$ | $\#$ | b | b | a | b | b | $\#$ |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Universal Turing Machines

A Universal TM (UTM) is like my java TM simulator but written in TM. We can argue that a 3-tape TM can be used to create a UTM which can execute instructions from an arbitrary TM program.

The existence of a UTM is used to acquire some problems which can be proven to not be Turingdecidable.


Alan Turing (1912-1954)


Universal TM's:
the birth of the idea of having programmable computers.

Software can be used instead of designing new hardware.
"Universal Turing Machine" Jin Wicked.

Problem: A UTM is a Turing machine and hence it must have a fixed finite alphabet.

But it must be able to simulate any TM with an arbitrary alphabet.
How can we do this?
Hint: Our computers which we use to run Java and $C$ programs have an underlying alphabet of 0/1. But they still can represent lots of symbols!

First number the states and symbols:

| State | Sym | Next <br> state | Head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | $\#$ | s | L |
| s | a | t | a |
| s | b | t | L |
| t | $\#$ | h | $\#$ |
| t | a | t | R |
| t | b | t | b |


| Num | State | Head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | h | L |
| 1 | s | R |
| 2 | t | $\#$ |
| 3 |  | a |
| 4 |  | b |


| Num | State | "State" | Head | "Head" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | h | q 00 | L | a 000 |
| 1 | s | q 01 | R | a 001 |
| 2 | t | q 10 | $\#$ | a 010 |
| 3 |  |  | a | a 011 |
| 4 |  |  | b | a 100 |

Assumptions: h is always state 0 .
The start state is state 1.
The symbols always have $L=0, R=1, \#=2.9$

| State | Sym | Next <br> state | Head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | $\#$ | s | L |
| s | a | t | a |
| s | b | t | L |
| t | $\#$ | h | $\#$ |
| t | a | t | R |
| t | b | t | b |


| 0 | $h$ | $q 00$ | $L$ | $a 000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | s | q 01 | R | a 001 |
| 2 | t | q 10 | $\#$ | a 010 |
| 3 |  |  | a | a 011 |
| 4 |  |  | b | a 100 |

" $M$ " is a string representing a TM M which uses the alphabet $\{(), q, a, 0,1,$, ,
" $w$ " is a string representing $w$ which uses the alphabet $\{a, 0,1\}$.

| State | Sym | Next <br> state | Head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | $\#$ | s | L |
| s | a | t | a |
| s | b | t | L |
| t | $\#$ | h | $\#$ |
| t | a | t | R |
| t | b | t | b |


| 0 | $h$ | $q 00$ | $L$ | $a 000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | s | q 01 | R | a 001 |
| 2 | t | q 10 | $\#$ | a 010 |
| 3 |  |  | a | a 011 |
| 4 |  |  | b | a 100 |

" ${ }^{\prime}$ "=
(q01, a010, q01, a000), (q01, a011, q10, a011), (q01, a100, q10, a000),
( $q 10, a 010, q 00, a 010),(q 10, a 011, q 10, a 001),(q 10, a 100, q 10, a 100)$

## "abaa"= a011a100a011a011

"\#ab\#"= a010a011a100a010

## Initially:

Tape 1: \# "M" [\#]
Tape 2: \#q00... 01[\#]
Tape 3: \# "Tape contents for M" \#
\#ab[\#] $\rightarrow$ \#a010a011a100[a]010\#

## Original TM M:

Head moves left/right: move head on third tape left/right until reaching "a" (or \#).
Blanks to right of input: reformat to "\#"=a0...10.
Hit blank to left of input: original TM hangs.

## To do one move:

Search for the current symbol (head on tape 3 is on " $a$ " of its encoding) and the current state (from tape 2) in " $M$ " on tape 1.

When the applicable transition is found:

1. update the current state name on tape 2,
2. move the head on tape 3 (head instruction is $\mathrm{aO} . . .0=\mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{a} 00 . .01=\mathrm{R}$ ) or replace the current symbol encoding on tape 3.

## Sample final exam question:

For each of the following languages, indicate the most restrictive of the classes below into which it falls
(a) finite
(b) regular
(c) context-free
(d) Turing-decidable
(e) Turing-acceptable
(f) None of the above.

## 1. $\Phi$

2. $(a \cup b)^{*}$
3. $\left\{a^{n} b^{n}: n \geq 0\right\}$
4. $\left\{w \in\left\{\#,(,), 0,1, a, q_{1},\right\}^{\star}: w=" M "\right.$ for some $\left.T M M\right\}$
5. $H=\{" M "$ " $w$ " : M halts when run on input $w\}$
6. $\{$ " $M$ " " $w$ " : M does not halt on input $w\}$
