Suppose that $n=2^{k}-1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.

1. Solve this recurrence relation:
$T(1)=1$, and
otherwise, $T(n)=1+T\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$.
2. Prove that $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})$ is $\theta(f(n))$ for a function $f(n)$ that is as simple as possible.

Assignment 2A Programming and Assignment 1A resubmissions are due on Thursday Oct. 12 at 11:55pm.
When you upload your files for Assignment 2A:

1. Make sure you hit SUBMIT EVERY time you revise your files. There is no harm in doing this since I have set connex up to allow an unlimited number of resubmissions.
2. For 2 A submissions: make sure that for each of LinkedList.java and BigIntegerList.java, you only have ONE version of each file. Delete old versions. If you have more than one version your code will not compile.

Review Lecture 12:

## Assignment 1A: Hints for writing good programs

 before submitting your programs.Code submitted for this class should be elegant and efficient (subject to meeting the constraints given: reverse should be a divide and conquer method that splits the list in half).

Midterm tutorial: Tuesday Oct. 17, 7pm-10pm, Elliott 168.

Assignment 2B Written is due at the beginning of class on Monday Oct. 16.

In order to not disadvantage the Friday tutorial students with respect to the midterm exam:
There will be tutorial: Friday Oct. 13
There will be no tutorial: Friday Oct. 20
If you have tutorial on Fridays and cannot attend on Oct. 13, then you are welcome to attend any one of the other sections:
On Friday Oct 13:
B06 ECS 258 F 13:30-14:20
B07 ECS 258 F 14:30-15:20
On Monday Oct. 16:
B01 ECS 258 M 13:30-14:20
B02 ECS 258 M 14:30-15:20
B03 ECS 258 M 15:30-16:20
On Tuesday Oct. 17:
B04 ECS 258 T 09:30-10:20
B05 ECS 258 T 10:30-11:20
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### 1.4 ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS

## Algorithms

Howey Siberwick 1 Kivin Wiyse
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## - infroduction

- mathematical models
order-of-growth classifications
- theory of algorithms


## Mathematical models for running time

Total running time: sum of cost $\times$ frequency for all operations.

- Need to analyze program to determine set of operations.
- Cost depends on machine, compiler.
- Frequency depends on algorithm, input data.


In principle, accurate mathematical models are available.

## Cost of basic operations

Challenge. How to estimate constants.

| operation | example | nanoseconds + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| integer add | $a+b$ | 2.1 |
| integer multiply | $a$ a $b$ | 2.4 |
| integer divide | $a / b$ | 5.4 |
| floating-point add | $a+b$ | 4.6 |
| floating-point multiply | $a$ a $b$ | 4.2 |
| floating-point divide | $a / b$ | 13.5 |
| sine | Math.sin(theta) | 91.3 |
| arctangent | Math.atan2(y, x) | 129.0 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ |

$\dagger$ Running OS X on Machook Pro 2.2 GHz with 2 GB RAM

## Cost of basic operations

Observation. Most primitive operations take constant time.

| pperation | example | nanoseconds $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variable declaration | int $a$ | $c_{1}$ |
| assignment statement | $a=b$ | $c_{2}$ |
| integer compare | $a<b$ | $c_{9}$ |
| array element access | a. length | $c_{4}$ |
| array length | new int $[\mathrm{N}]$ | $c_{5}$ |

Caveat. Non-primitive operations often take more than constant time.

## Example: 1-SUM

Q. How many instructions as a function of input size $N$ ?


## Example: 2-SUM

Q. How many instructions as a function of input size $N$ ?


## Example: 2-SUM

Q. How many instructions as a function of input size $N$ ?

```
int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i< N; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < N; j++)
        1f(a[1]+a[j] == 0)
        count++;
```

        \(0+1+2+\ldots+(N-1)-\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)\)
    $\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \text { operation } & \text { frequency } \\ \hline \text { variable declaration } & N+2 \\ \hline \text { assignment statement } & N+2 \\ \hline \text { less than compare } & 1 /(N+1)(N+2) \\ \hline \text { equal to compare } & 1 / N(N-1) \\ \hline \text { array access } & N(N-1) \\ \hline \text { increment } & 1 / N(N-1) \text { to } N(N-1)\end{array}\right\}$
$-\binom{N}{2}$
tedious to count exactly

## Simplifying the calculations

" It is convenient to have a measure of the amount of work involved in a computing process, even though it be a very crude one. We may count up the number of times that various elementary operations are applied in the whole process and then given them various weights. We might, for instance, count the number of additions, subtractions, multiplications, divisions, recording of numbers, and extractions of figures from tables. In the case of computing with matrices most of the work consists of multiplications and writing down numbers, and we shall therefore only attempt to count the number of multiplications and recordings. " - Alan Turing
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Hy A. M. TuEIND
(Nativeni Phynioal Zabonalory, Fedinugtion, Ifldiluax)
[Beowined \& Scenarler lifer:]

## BUMEAKT




 rotesintial loulb-av med seove


## Simplification 1: cost model

Cost model. Use some basic operation as a proxy for running time.

```
int count = 0;
for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j<N; j++)
        1f (a[1]+a[j] == 0)
        count++;
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline operation & frequency \\
\hline variable declaration & \(N+2\) \\
\hline assignment statement & \(N+2\) \\
\hline less than compare & \(1 /(N+1)(N+2)\) \\
\hline equal to compare & \(1 / N(N-1)\) \\
\hline array access & \(N(N-1)\) \\
\hline increment & \(1 / N(N-1)\) to \(N(N-1)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

$=\frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$
$-\binom{N}{2}$
cost model = array accesses (we assume compiler//VM do not optimize any array accesses away

## Simplification 2: tilde notation

- Estimate running time (or memory) as a function of input size $N$.
- Ignore lower order terms.
- when $N$ is large, terms are negligible
- when $N$ is small, we don't care

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Ex 1. } & 1 / 6 N^{3}+20 N+16 & \sim 1 / 6 N^{3} \\
\text { Ex 2. } & 1 / N^{3}+100 N^{4 / 3}+56 & \sim 1 / 6 N^{3} \\
\text { Ex 3. } & 1 / N^{3}-\underbrace{1 / 2 N^{2}+1 / 3 N}_{\substack{\text { discard lower-order terms } \\
\text { (e.g., } \mathrm{N}=1000: 166.67 \text { million vs. } 166.17 \text { million) }}} & \sim 1 / 6 N^{3} \\
&
\end{array}
$$



Technical definition. $f(N) \sim g(N)$ means $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(N)}{g(N)}=1$

## Simplification 2: tilde notation

- Estimate running time (or memory) as a function of input size $N$.
- Ignore lower order terms.
- when $N$ is large, terms are negligible
- when $N$ is small, we don't care

| operation | frequency | tilde notation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variable declaration | $N+2$ | $-N$ |
| assignment statement | $N+2$ | $-N$ |
| less than compare | $K(N+1)(N+2)$ | $-1 / N^{2}$ |
| equal to compare | $k N(N-1)$ | $-1 / N^{2}$ |
| array access | $N(N-1)$ | $-N^{2}$ |
| increment | $H N(N-1)$ to $N(N-1)$ | $-k^{2} N^{2}$ to $-N^{2}$ |

## More widely accepted notation:

Assume that $T, f$ and $g$ are functions mapping the natural numbers $\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$ into the reals.

Definition: "Big Oh" A function $T(n)$ is in $O(f(n))$ if there exist constants $n_{0} \geq 0$, and $c>0$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}, T(n) \leq c^{*} f(n)$.

Definition: "Omega" A function $T(n)$ is in $\Omega(f(n))$ if there exist constants $n_{0} \geq 0$, and $c>0$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}, T(n) \geq c * f(n)$.

Definition: "Theta" The set $\theta(g(n))$ of functions consists of $\Omega(g(n)) \cap O(g(n))$.

## Example: 2-SUM

Q. Approximately how many array accesses as a function of input size $N$ ?

A. $\sim N^{2}$ array accesses.

## What is this using $\theta$ notation?

Bottom line. Use cost model and tilde notation to simplify counts.

## Example: 3-SUM

Q. Approximately how many array accesses as a function of input size $N$ ?

```
int count = 0;
for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
    for (int j = i+1; j < N; j++)
        for (int k = j+1; k<N; k++)
        1f(a[1] +a[j] +a[k] == 0)

```

A. $\sim 1 / 2 N^{3}$ array accesses.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{N}{3} & =\frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{\pi!} \\
& \sim \frac{1}{6} N^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

```

\section*{What is this using \(\theta\) notation?}

Bottom line. Use cost model and tilde notation to simplify counts.

\section*{Common order-of-growth classifications}

Definition. If \(f(N) \sim c g(N)\) for some constant \(c>0\), then the order of growth of \(f(N)\) is \(g(N)\).
- Ignores leading coefficient.
- Ignores lower-order terms.

Ex. The order of growth of the running time of this code is \(N^{3}\).
```

int count = 0;
for (int i = 0; i< < N; i++)
for (int j = i+1; j < N; j++)
for (int k = j+1; k < N; k++)
if (a[i] + a[j] +a[k] == 0)
count++;

```

Typical usage. With running times.

\section*{Common order-of-growth classifications}

Good news. The set of functions
\(1, \log N, N, N \log N, N^{2}, N^{3}\), and \(2^{N}\) suffices to describe the order of growth of most common algorithms.


\section*{Common order-of-growth classifications}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline order of growth & name & typical code framework: & detcription & example & T(2N/TT(N) \\
\hline 1 & constant & \(a=b+c ;\) & statement & add two numbers & I \\
\hline \(\log N\) & logarithmic & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { while }(\mathbb{N} \geqslant 1) \\
\mathbb{N}=\mathbb{N} / 2 ; \cdots
\end{gathered}
\] & divide in half & binary search & \(\sim 1\) \\
\hline \(N\) & linear & for (int \(1=0 ; 1 \times N ; i+1\) \{ \(\ldots\) \} & loop & find the maximum & 2 \\
\hline \(N \log N\) & linearithmic & [see mergesort lecture] & divide and conquer & mergesort & -2 \\
\hline \(N^{2}\) & quadratic & ```
for (int i = 0; i < N; f+p)
    for (fint j=0; j < N; j+#)
        { ... }
``` & double loop & check all pairs & 4 \\
\hline \(N^{3}\) & cubic & ```
for (int i = 0; i < N; f+p)
    for (fint j = 0; j < N; j+%)
        for (int k = 0; k c N; k+t)
            { ... }
``` & triple loop & check all triples & 8 \\
\hline \(2^{N}\) & exponential & [see combinatorial search lecture] & exhaustive search & check all subsets & \(T(N)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

State a recurrence relation \(T(n)\) for the Big Oh time complexity of the monday method on the next slide.

If \(n=2^{k}\), what is the value of \(x\) after the call:
int \(x=\) monday \((0, n, n)\);
public static int monday(int level, int n, int original_n)
\{ int i, j, sum, silly_sum;
```

if (n==1) return(original_n);
silly_sum=0;
for (i=0; i < n; i++)
for (j=i+1; j< n; j++)
silly_sum++;

```
sum \(=\) monday(level \(+1, n / 2\), original_n);
sum+= monday(level+1, \(n / 2\), original_n);
sum+= monday(level+1, \(n / 2\), original_n);
for ( \(\mathrm{i}=0\); \(\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}\); \(\mathrm{i}++\) ) silly_sum++;
return(sum);

\section*{Binary search: Java implementation}

Trivial to implement?
- First binary search published in 1946.
- First bug-free one in 1962.
- Bug in Java's Arrays .binarySearcho discovered in 2006.
```

public static int binarySearch(int[] a, int key)
I
1nt lo = 0, hi = a. length-1;
while (lo <= hi)
I
fint mid = 1o + (hi - 1o) / 2;
1f (key < a[mid]) hi = mid = 1;
else if (key > a[mid]) 1o = mid + 1;
else return mid;
}
return -1;
}

```

Invariant. If key appears in the array \(a[]\), then \(a[10] \leq\) key \(\leq a[h i]\).

\section*{Recursive code:}
public static int binary_search( int level, int key, int [] A, int lo, int hi)
\{
int mid, pos;
// Entry is not in the array.
if (lo > hi) return(-1);
\[
\text { mid= } 10+(h i-10) / 2 ;
\]
if (key < A[mid])
\{
pos= binary_search(leve1+1, key, A, 1o, mid-1);
return(pos);
\}
else if (key > A[mid])
\{
pos= binary_search(leve1+1, key, A, mid+1, hi); return(pos);
\} else return(mid);
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For this example: A.length= 10
The initial call for a key is:
int pos= binary_search(0, key, A, 0, A.length-1);

Search for 14: mid= 1o + (hi- 1o)/2;
\(\mathrm{A}[0\)... 9] \(\mathrm{mid}=4\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(A[5 . . .9] \quad \operatorname{mid}=7\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Search for 14: mid= 10 + (hi- 10)/2;
\(\mathrm{A}[5 . . .6] \quad \mathrm{mid}=5\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\mathrm{A}[6 \ldots 6] \quad \mathrm{mid}=6\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
returns 6

Search for 15: mid= 10 + (hi- 1o)/2;
\(\mathrm{A}[0\)... 9] \(\mathrm{mid}=4\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\mathrm{A}[5 . . .9] \operatorname{mid}=7\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Search for 15: mid= 1o + (hi- 1o)/2;
\(\mathrm{A}[5 . . .6] \quad \mathrm{mid}=5\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A[6 ... 6] \(\quad \mathrm{mid}=6\)
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 18 & 20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A[7 ... 6] Empty subproblem: lo > hi returns -1

On which problem sizes are the left and right subproblems equal in length at every step?
Give a recurrence relation for the time complexity of binary search and solve it.

How much time does binary search take: 1. In the best case?
2. In the worst case for a successful search?
3. On average for a successful search? 4. On average for an unsuccessful search?

\section*{Types of analyses}

Best case. Lower bound on cost.
* Determined by "easiest" input.
- Provides a goal for all inputs.

Worst case. Upper bound on cost.
- Determined by "most difficult" input.
- Provides a guarantee for all inputs.

Average case. Expected cost for random input.
* Need a model for "random" input.
- Provides a way to predict performance.

Ex 1. Array accesses for brute-force 3-Sum.
Best: \(\quad-1 / N^{3}\)
Average: \(\sim \mathbb{N}^{3}\)
Worst: \(\quad \sim / 2 N^{3}\)

Ex 2. Compares for binary search.
Best: ~1
Average: \(\sim \lg N\)
Worst: \(\quad \sim \lg N\)

\section*{Theory of algorithms}

Goals.
- Establish "difficulty" of a problem.
* Develop "optimal" algorithms.

Approach.
- Suppress details in analysis: analyze "to within a constant factor."
- Eliminate variability in input model: focus on the worst case.

Upper bound. Performance guarantee of algorithm for any input.
Lower bound. Proof that no algorithm can do better.
Optimal algorithm. Lower bound = upper bound (to within a constant factor).

Commonly-used notations in the theory of algorithms
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline notation & provides & example & shorthand for & used to \\
\hline Tilde & leading term & \(-10 \mathrm{~N}^{2}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
10 N^{2} \\
10 N^{2}+22 N \log N \\
10 N^{2}+2 N+37
\end{gathered}
\] & provide approximate model \\
\hline Big Theta & asymptotic order of growth & \(\theta\left(N^{2}\right)\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
1 / N^{2} \\
10 N^{2} \\
5 N^{2}+22 N \log N+3 \mathrm{~N}
\end{gathered}
\] & classify algorithms \\
\hline Big Oh & \(\theta\left(N^{2}\right)\) and smaller & \(0\left(N^{2}\right)\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
10 N^{2} \\
100 N \\
22 N \log N+3 N
\end{gathered}
\] & develop upper bounds \\
\hline Big Omega & \(\Theta\left(N^{2}\right)\) and larger & \(Q\left(N^{2}\right)\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
12 N^{2} \\
N^{5} \\
N^{3}+22 N \log N+3 N
\end{gathered}
\] & develop lower bounds \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Common mistake. Interpreting big-Oh as an approximate model.
Text:
Focus on approximate models: use Tilde-notation CSC 225: Uses Big Theta, Big Oh and Big Omega notation.```

