Lecture 33

- Simulating paradigms in languages that don't directly support them
- VERY INTERESTING TOPIC

Simulating OOP in FP I

- A shape is an object with 3 methods
  - toString, getPos, move

```
datatype shape = Shape of {toString: unit -> string,
                           getPos  : unit -> int * int
                           move    : int * int -> shape}
```

Object is a record of functions

Simulating OOP in FP II

```
val i2s = Int.toString
local
    fun cirHelper r (x,y) () =
        "Circle("^ i2s r ^ ") at (" ^i2s x^ "^ i2s y^")"
in
    fun newCircle radius (pos as (x, y)) =
        Shape{toString = cirHelper radius pos,
              getPos   = fn() => pos;
              move     = fn(a,b) => new Circle radius(x+a, y+b)}
end

val newCircle = fn: int -> int * int -> shape
```


Simulating OOP in FP III

```
local
    fun recHelder (w,h) (x,y) () =
        "Rect("^i2s w^","^ i2s h^
            ") at (" ^ i2s x^ ", "^i2s y^")"
    in
    fun newRect sides (pos as (x,y)) =
        Shape{toString = recHelper sides pos,
              getPos = fn() => pos,
              move = fn(a,b) => new Rect sides (x+a, y+b)
    end

val newRect = fn: int * int -> int * int -> shape
```

Simulating OOP in FP IV

A small program that uses shapes:

```
val shapes = [newCircle 5 (0,0), newRect (3,42) (1,1), newCircle 1 (~1,0)]
val newShapes = map (fn (Shape s) => #move s (1,0)) shapes

val toStrings = map (fn (Shape s) => #toString s())
val s1 = toStrings shapes
val s2 = toString newShapes
```


Simulating OOP in C

```
typedef struct ComplexStruct* Complex;

struct ComplexStruct
{
    double re, im;
    double (*realpart)(Complex this);
    double (*imaginarypart) (Complex this);
    Complex (*add) (Complex this, Complex c);
    Complex (*multiply) (Complex this, Complex c);
};
```

What are the limits of this approach compared to an OOP language ?

Simulating FP in Java

- First class function is an object with an apply method

```
interface FirstClassIntToInt {                    int -> int
    public Int apply(Int x);
}


interface FirstClassObjToObj {                    'a -> 'b
    public Object apply(Object x);
}
```


map in Java

```
static LinkedList map(FirstClassObjtoObj f, List ls) {
        if (ls.isEmpty())
            return new LinkedList();
        else {
            Object x = ls.get(0);
            List xs = ls.subList(1, ls.size());
            LinkedList res = map(f,xs);
            Object r = f.apply(x);
            res.addFirst(r);
            return res;
    }
```

```
fun map f [] = []
  | map f (x::xs) = f(x)::map f xs;
```

Using map in Java

```
Java:
FirstClassObjtoObj incr = new FirstClassObjtoObj() {
                                public Object apply(Object x) {
                                    int n = ((Integer)x).intValue();
                                    return new Integer(n+1);
                            };

Linked res = map(incr, xs);

ML:
    val res = map (fn x=>x+1) xs;
```


Some comments

- Programming languages affect the way you think
- Try to think “natively” when you program
- Everyone did mugEngine – very few programs were good example of OOP
- Keep rewriting your code trying to make it better even if it “works”